arXiv:1206.1941v1 [math.SP] 9 Jun 2012

SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH SINGULARLY SCALED MAGNETIC AND ELECTRIC POTENTIALS

YURIY GOLOVATY

Abstract. The norm resolvent convergence of a family of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with singular magnetic and electric potentials of small support is studied. The results obtained heavily depend on zero-energy resonances of the electric potential. In particular, these convergence results yield the approximation of a wide class of operators with singular point interactions by operators with smooth coefficients.

1. Introduction

The present paper is concerned with the norm (uniform) resolvent convergence of the family of one-dimensional magnetic Schrödinger operators of the form

$$T^h_{\varepsilon\nu} = \left(\mathrm{i}\,\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{h^s}\,A\left(\frac{x}{h}\right)\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\,\Phi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{\nu}\,\Psi\left(\frac{x}{\nu}\right) \tag{1.1}$$

as the positive parameters h, ε, and ν tend to zero simultaneously. Here A, Φ and Ψ are real functions of compact support. The limit results strongly depend on the shape of the potentials Φ and Ψ, particularly on the presence of a zero energy resonance for the Hamiltonian $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \Phi(x)$. This paper can be viewed as a natural continuation of the recent work on the Schrödinger operators with singular localized potentials [10–13] to the case of the Schrödinger operators with singular magnetic fields.

Our motivation of the study on this convergence comes from an application to the scattering of quantum particles by sharply localized potentials. The main purpose of this paper is to construct solvable models in terms of the point interactions describing with admissible fidelity the real quantum interactions governed by the Hamiltonian $T^h_{\varepsilon\nu}$. Schrödinger operators with singular distributional potentials supported on a discrete set (such potentials are usually termed point interactions) have attracted considerable attention in both the physical and mathematical literature. The quantum mechanical models that are based on the concept of point interactions reveal an undoubted effectiveness whenever solvability together with non triviality is required. It is an extensive subject with a large literature (see [1, 5], and the references given therein).

It is well-known that all nontrivial point interactions at a point x can be described by the coupling conditions

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi(x+0) \\ \psi'(x+0) \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi(x-0) \\ \psi'(x-0) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.2}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34L40, 34B09; Secondary 81Q10.

Key words and phrases. 1D Schrödinger operator, magnetic potential, zero-energy resonance, short range interaction, point interaction, δ-potential, δ'-potential.

where $\varphi \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, $c_{kl} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $c_{11}c_{22} - c_{12}c_{21} = 1$ (see, e.g., [2,7,20]). The nontriviality of point interactions means that the corresponding operator cannot be presented as a direct sum of two self-adjoint operators acting in $L_2(-\infty, 0)$ and $L_2(0, \infty)$. For the quantum systems described by the Schrödinger operators with regular potentials localized in a neighbourhood of x one can often assign the Schrödinger operators with the point interactions (1.2) so that the corresponding zero-range models govern the quantum dynamics of the true interactions with adequate accuracy, especially for the low-energy particles.

In this context, the "inverse" problem is also of interest. The important question is how to approximate a singular operator with a given point interaction by a family of Schrödinger operators with smooth localized potentials [18]. The results of this paper can be in particular viewed as a partial answer of this question. The norm resolvent convergence of $T^h_{\varepsilon\nu}$ implies that under certain conditions the operators $T^h_{\varepsilon\nu}$ can be considered as a "smooth approximation" of the singular Schrödinger operators with the point interaction of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi(+0) \\ \psi'(+0) \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ \varkappa & \theta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi(-0) \\ \psi'(-0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that singular point interactions for the Schrödinger operators in dimensions one and the problem of their smooth regularization are widely discussed in recent numerious publicatins; see e.g. [6,15,17,19,21,25,26].

On the other hand, under certain conditions on the potentials A, Φ and Ψ the operators $T^h_{\varepsilon\nu}$ can be viewed as the regularization of the heuristic operators

$$\left(\mathrm{i}\,\frac{d}{dx} + \mu\delta^{(s-1)}(x)\right)^2 + \alpha\delta'(x) + \beta\delta(x), \qquad s = 1, 2, \dots. \tag{1.3}$$

Here δ is the Dirac delta function. But these operators are generally mathematically meaningless. Therefore it is not surprising that different smooth regularizations of the coefficients in (1.3) can lead in the limit to different self-adjoint operators, i.e., the limit results are shape-dependent. Moreover the resolvents of $T^h_{\varepsilon\nu}$ can converge even when the families of smooth coefficients diverge in the distributional sense. Roughly speaking, the convergence of wave functions is not generally directly linked to the convergence of localized potentials. Thus the pseudo-Hamiltonians (1.3) can be only considered as symbolic notation for a wide variety of quantum systems with quite different properties whose dynamics is governed by the operators $T^h_{\varepsilon\nu}$ as soon as the parameters h, ε, ν are small enough.

It is known that one dimensional Schrödinger operators

$$H(a) = \left(\mathrm{i}\frac{d}{dx} + a(x)\right)^2 + V(x)$$

with continuous magnetic potentials are not especially interesting, because any continuous a is equivalent under a smooth gauge transformation to 0. This means that the operator $H(a)$ with a continuous gauge field is unitarily equivalent to the Schrödinger operator $H(0) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)$ without magnetic field. For the case of singular magnetic potentials, however, there are certain nontrivial examples [3], pointing out that this case is more subtle. It has been proven in [18] that a nonzero phase φ in the coupling conditions (1.2) appears if and only if the singular gauge field is present. It is noteworthy that the authors of [8] have asserted that the phase parameter φ is redundant and it produces no interesting effect. They have stated that if time-reversal invariance is imposed, the number of the parameters that specify the interactions (1.2) can be reduced to three. However, Albeverio, Fei and Kurasov [3] have shown that the phase parameter is not redundant if nonstationary problems are concerned. The phase parameter can be interpreted as the amplitude of a singular gauge field.

Another reason to study the 1-D Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields comes from the quantum graph theory which is a useful tool in modelling numerous physical phenomena. One of the fundamental questions of this theory consists of justifying the possibility of approximating dynamics of a quantum particle confined to real-world mesoscopic waveguides of small width d by its dynamics on the graph obtained in the limit as d vanishes. In recent preprint [9] the authors demonstrated that any self-adjoint coupling in a quantum graph vertex can be approximated by a family of magnetic Schrödinger operators on a tubular network built over the graph.

The magnetic Schrödinger operators and the Dirac Hamiltonians with Aharonov-Bohm fields have been recently discussed from various aspects by many authors. We confine ourself to a brief overview of the most relevant papers. For the mathematical foundation of the magnetic Schrödinger operators we refer the reader to the paper of Avron, Herbst, and Simon [4]. In two dimension, the norm resolvent convergence of the Schrödinger operators

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon = \bigl(\mathrm{i}\nabla + \varepsilon^{-1}A(x/\varepsilon)\bigr)^2 + \varepsilon^{-2}V(x/\varepsilon)$$

with singularly scaled magnetic and electric potentials was studied by Tamura [23]. The magnetic potential had the δ-like field $\varepsilon^{-2}b(x/\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-1}\nabla\times A(x/\varepsilon)$, and b and V were smooth vector functions in $\mathbb{R}^2$ of compact support. The limit operator strongly depends on the total flux of magnetic field and on the resonance space at zero energy. The scattering by a magnetic field with small support and the convergence to the scattering amplitude by δ magnetic field were studied in [22]. In [24], the case of relativistic particles moving in the Aharonov-Bohm magnetic field with a δ-like singularity was considered. The author approximated the pointlike field by smooth ones and found the limit self-adjoint operators uniquely specified by physically and mathematically reasonable boundary conditions at the origin.

2. Notation and Main Result

First we introduce some characteristics of the potentials Φ and Ψ in (1.1), assuming they are bounded and real functions of compact support.

We say that the Schrödinger operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \Phi$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ possesses a *half-bound state* (or *zero-energy resonance*) if there exists a non trivial solution u to the equation $-u'' + \Phi u = 0$ that is bounded on the whole line. The potential Φ is then called *resonant*.

Note that the resonant potentials are not something unusual. For each bounded function Q of compact support, there exists a countable set of real constants α_k such that the potential $\alpha_k Q$ is resonant. In fact, if there exists a half-bound state u_α of the operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \alpha Q$, then u_α is constant outside the support of Q and its restriction to any interval (c_1, c_2), containing this support, is a nontrivial solution of the Neumann boundary value problem

$$-u'' + \alpha Q u = 0, \quad t \in (c_1, c_2), \qquad u'(c_1) = 0, \quad u'(c_2) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Consequently, α must be an eigenvalue of the latter problem. In the case of a nonnegative (resp. nonpositive) potential Q, the spectrum of (2.1) is discrete and simple with one accumulation point at $-\infty$ (resp. $+\infty$). Otherwise, (2.1) is a problem with indefinite weight function Q and has a discrete and simple spectrum with two accumulation points at both infinities (see [10, 14] for details).

Suppose that the potential Φ is resonant with a half-bound state u. Set

$$\theta=\frac{u(+\infty)}{u(-\infty)}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $u(\pm\infty)=\lim_{x\to\pm\infty}u(x)$. Since u is unique up to a scalar factor and has nonzero limits at both infinities [16], this ratio is well defined. We also define the mapping $\varkappa\colon \mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$\varkappa(\omega)=\frac{1}{u(-\infty)\,u(+\infty)}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Psi(t)\,u^2(\omega t)\,dt, \tag{2.3}$$

and extend $\varkappa$ to the set $\mathbb{R}_*=[0,\infty)\cup\{\infty\}$, containing the actual infinity, by

$$\varkappa(0)=\frac{u^2(0)}{u(-\infty)\,u(+\infty)}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Psi\,dt,\qquad \varkappa(\infty)=\theta\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\Psi\,dt+\theta^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}_-}\Psi\,dt. \tag{2.4}$$

This mapping describes different kinds of the resonance interaction between the potentials Φ and Ψ in the limit. Also we set

$$\hat{A}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}A(x)\,dx.$$

Let $S_\pm$ denote the unperturbed half-line Schrödinger operator $S_\pm=-d^2/dx^2$ on $\mathbb{R}_\pm$, subject to the Dirichlet boundary condition at the origin, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{dom}S_\pm=\left\{\phi\in W_2^2(\mathbb{R}_\pm)\colon \phi(\pm0)=0\right\}.$$

We turn now to our primary task of studying the limit behaviour of the operators in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$T^h_{\varepsilon\nu}=\left(\mathrm{i}\,\frac{d}{dx}+\frac{1}{h^s}\,A\left(\frac{x}{h}\right)\right)^2+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\,\Phi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{\nu}\,\Psi\left(\frac{x}{\nu}\right),\quad \operatorname{dom}T^h_{\varepsilon\nu}=W_2^2(\mathbb{R}). \tag{2.5}$$

Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A, Φ, and Ψ be bounded real functions of compact support. Suppose furthermore that $A\in\mathcal{AC}(\mathbb{R})$. Assume also that a sequence ν_ε of positive numbers is such that $\nu_\varepsilon\to0$ and the ratio $\nu_\varepsilon/\varepsilon$ tends to $\omega\in\mathbb{R}_*$ as $\varepsilon\to0$, i.e., this ratio has a finite or infinite limit.*

Resonant case. *If the potential Φ is resonant with a half-bound state u and $s=1$, then the family of operators $T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon}$ converges in the norm resolvent sense as $h,\varepsilon\to0$ to the operator $T(\theta,\varkappa(\omega))$ defined by $T(\theta,\varkappa(\omega))\phi=-\phi''$ on functions ϕ in $W_2^2(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})$, subject to the boundary conditions*

$$\phi(+0)=e^{i\hat{A}}\theta\phi(-0),\qquad \phi'(+0)=e^{i\hat{A}}\big(\theta^{-1}\phi'(-0)+\varkappa(\omega)\phi(-0)\big)$$

Here the quantities θ and $\varkappa(\omega)$ are defined by (2.2)–(2.4). The same is true for $s>1$, provided $\hat{A}=0$.

Non-resonant case. *If either $s=1$ or else $s>1$ and $\hat{A}=0$, and if there does not exist a zero-energy resonance for the Hamiltonian $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+\Phi(x)$, then the operators $T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon}$ converge to the direct sum $S_-\oplus S_+$.*

Let a be a smooth function of compact support, and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. It is known that the sequence of the form $h^{-s}a(h^{-1}x)$ converges to $\delta^{(s-1)}(x)$ as $h \to 0$ in the sense of distributions if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k a(x)\,dx = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, s-2 \quad \text{and} \quad (-1)^{s-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{s-1}a(x)\,dx = 1.$$

In the previous theorem, therefore, the case $s = 1$ corresponds to a δ-like magnetic potential, whereas the case $s > 1$ and $\hat{A} = 0$ corresponds in particular to a regular approximation of the $\delta^{(n)}$ magnetic potential for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The electric potential $\varepsilon^{-2}\Phi(\varepsilon^{-1}x) + \nu^{-1}\Psi(\nu^{-1}x)$ converges to a distribution $\alpha_1\delta'(x) + \alpha_0\delta(x)$ as ε and ν go to zero, if Φ has a zero-mean value, and diverges in the sense of distributions otherwise.

3. Some auxiliary results

We start with some propositions, which will be used below.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\{L_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ be a family of self-adjoint operators in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\{U_h\}_{h>0}$ be a family of unitary operators in $\mathcal{H}$. Assume that*

- *$L_\varepsilon \to L$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the norm resolvent sense;*
- *$U_h \to U$ in the strong operator topology as $h \to 0$;*
- *U is a unitary operator in $\mathcal{H}$.*

Then the family of operators $B_\varepsilon^h = U_h L_\varepsilon U_h^{-1}$ converge in the strong resolvent sense to the operator $B = ULU^{-1}$ with the domain

$$\operatorname{dom} B = \{\phi \in \mathcal{H} \colon U^{-1}\phi \in \operatorname{dom} L\}$$

as h and ε tend to zero simultaneously.

Proof. The difference of the resolvents $(B_\varepsilon^h - z)^{-1}$ and $(B - z)^{-1}$ can be represented as follows

$$\begin{aligned}(B_\varepsilon^h - z)^{-1} - (B - z)^{-1} &= U_h(L_\varepsilon - z)^{-1}U_h^{-1} - U(L-z)^{-1}U^{-1}\\ &= U_h\big((L_\varepsilon - z)^{-1} - (L-z)^{-1}\big)U_h^{-1}\\ &\quad + U_h(L_\varepsilon - z)^{-1}(U_h^{-1} - U^{-1}) + (U_h - U)(L-z)^{-1}U^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

provided $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. From this relation and the self-adjointness of L_ε we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(B_\varepsilon^h - z)^{-1}f - (B-z)^{-1}f\| &\le \|(L_\varepsilon - z)^{-1} - (L-z)^{-1}\|\,\|f\|\\ &\quad + |\operatorname{Im} z|^{-1}\|(U_h^{-1} - U^{-1})f\| + \|(U_h - U)(L-z)^{-1}U^{-1}f\| \qquad (3.1)\end{aligned}$$

for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$. The first term in the right-hand side tends to zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$, since operators L_ε converge to L in the norm resolvent sense. The last two terms are infinitely small as $h \to 0$, in view of the strong convergence of U_h, which finishes the proof. □

We introduce the unitary operators V_h and V in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ acting via

$$(V_h f)(x) = e^{\mathrm{i}\hat{A}_h(x)}f(x), \qquad (3.2)$$

$$(V f)(x) = e^{\mathrm{i}\hat{A}\,H(x)}f(x), \qquad (3.3)$$

where H is the Heaviside step function, i.e., $H(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$ and $H(x) = 1$ for $x > 0$. Set

$$\hat{A}_h(x) = h^{-s} \int_{-\infty}^{x} A(h^{-1}t)\, dt.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that either $s = 1$ or else $s > 1$ and $\hat{A} = 0$; then the family of operators V_h converges to the operator V as $h \to 0$ in the strong operator topology.*

Proof. Remark that V is the identity operator, if $\hat{A} = 0$. Without loss of generality we can assume that the support of A lies in $[-1, 1]$. First, we note that

$$\hat{A}_h(x) = h^{1-s} \int_{-\infty}^{x/h} A(\tau)\, d\tau.$$

Therefore $\hat{A}_h(x) = 0$ for $x < -h$ and $\hat{A}_h(x) = h^{1-s}\hat{A}$ for $x > h$. For each $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_h f - V f\|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| e^{\mathrm{i}\hat{A}_h(x)} - e^{\mathrm{i}\hat{A}H(x)} \right|^2 |f(x)|^2\, dx \\ &= \int_{-h}^{h} \left| e^{\mathrm{i}\hat{A}_h(x)} - e^{\mathrm{i}\hat{A}H(x)} \right|^2 |f(x)|^2\, dx \le 4 \int_{-h}^{h} |f(x)|^2\, dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

since $\hat{A}_h(x) = \hat{A}H(x)$ for $|x| > h$. The right-hand side of (3.4) tends to zero as $h \to 0$, by absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral. □

Denote by $S(\alpha, \beta)$ the perturbation of the free Schrödinger operator acting via $S(\alpha, \beta)\phi = -\phi''$ on functions ϕ in $W_2^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ obeying the interface conditions

$$\phi(+0) = \alpha\phi(-0), \qquad \phi'(+0) = \alpha^{-1}\phi'(-0) + \beta\phi(-0)$$

at the origin. For every real α and β, this operator is self-adjoint provided $\alpha \neq 0$.

Let us consider the Schrödinger operators

$$S_\varepsilon = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\, \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{\nu_\varepsilon}\, \Psi\left(\frac{x}{\nu_\varepsilon}\right), \quad \operatorname{dom} S_\varepsilon = W_2^2(\mathbb{R}). \tag{3.5}$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on the following result obtained in [11]:

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that the sequence $\nu_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is such that $\nu_\varepsilon \to 0$ and the ratio $\nu_\varepsilon/\varepsilon$ tends to $\omega \in \mathbb{R}_*$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.*

If the potential Φ is resonant, then the operator family S_ε converges in the norm resolvent sense as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to the operator $S(\theta, \varkappa(\omega))$, where the values θ, $\varkappa(\omega)$ are given by (2.2)–(2.4). Otherwise the operators S_ε converge to the direct sum $S_- \oplus S_+$ in the sense of norm resolvent convergence.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let us consider the sequence $\{\nu_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ as in the previous theorem, i.e., $\nu_\varepsilon \to 0$ and $\nu_\varepsilon/\varepsilon \to \omega \in \mathbb{R}_*$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We also introduce two operators

$$S = \begin{cases} S(\theta, \varkappa(\omega)) & \text{if } \ \Phi \text{ is resonant,} \\ S_- \oplus S_+ & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \qquad T = \begin{cases} T(\theta, \varkappa(\omega)) & \text{if } \ \Phi \text{ is resonant,} \\ S_- \oplus S_+ & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $T(\theta, \varkappa(\omega))$ is defined in Theorem 2.1. Throughout the rest of paper, $\|f\|$ stands for the $L_2(\mathbb{R})$-norm of a function f.

Under conditions of Theorem 2.1 the operator $T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon}$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator S_ε, i.e., $T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon} = V_h S_\varepsilon V_h^{-1}$ with the unitary operator *(gauge transformation)* V_h given by (3.2) [4]. A trivial verification shows that the unitary operator V defined via (3.3) is an isomorphism from $\operatorname{dom} S$ onto $\operatorname{dom} T$ both in the resonant and non-resonant cases; therefore, $T = VSV^{-1}$. Hence, it follows from Theorem 3.3 and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 that operators $T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon}$ converge to T in the strong resolvent convergence. More precisely, the following holds as $h, \varepsilon \to 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} &T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon} \longrightarrow T(\theta, \varkappa(\omega)) && \text{if } s = 1 \text{ and } \Phi \text{ is resonant,}\\ &T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon} \longrightarrow S(\theta, \varkappa(\omega)) && \text{if } s > 1,\ \hat{A} = 0, \text{ and } \Phi \text{ is resonant,}\\ &T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon} \longrightarrow S_- \oplus S_+ && \text{otherwise,} \end{aligned}$$

provided $\nu_\varepsilon/\varepsilon \to \omega$. To improve this convergence, it suffices to establish that

$$\|(T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon} - z)^{-1} f - (T - z)^{-1} f\| = o(1)\|f\|$$

as $h, \varepsilon \to 0$ for every $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$.

For each $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ we consider the sequence $f_h = (1 - \chi_h)f$, where χ_h is the characteristic function of $(-h, h)$. It is easily seen that

$$\|f_h\| \le \|f\|, \qquad \|f_h - f\| \le c_0 h^{1/2}\|f\|. \tag{4.1}$$

Since the resolvents of the self-adjoint operators $T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon}$ are uniformly bounded with respect to both parameters h and ε in bounded subsets of $L_2(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\big\|\big((T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon} - z)^{-1} - (T - z)^{-1}\big) f\big\| \\ &\quad \le \big\|\big((T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon} - z)^{-1} - (T - z)^{-1}\big) f_h\big\| + \|(T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon} - z)^{-1} - (T - z)^{-1}\|\|f_h - f\| \\ &\qquad \le \big\|\big((T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon} - z)^{-1} - (T - z)^{-1}\big) f_h\big\| + 2c_0 h^{1/2}|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-1}\|f\|, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Moreover the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \big\|\big((T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon} - z)^{-1} - (T - z)^{-1}\big) f_h\big\| &\le \|(S_\varepsilon - z)^{-1} - (S - z)^{-1}\|\, \|f_h\| \\ &+ |\operatorname{Im} z|^{-1}\|(V_h^{-1} - V^{-1}) f_h\| + \|(V_h - V)(S - z)^{-1} V^{-1} f_h\| \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

holds (cf. (3.1)). Recalling the norm resolvent convergence of S_ε stated in Theorem 3.3, we observe that the first term in the right-hand side of (4.3) tends to zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$ uniformly. Next, $(V_h^{-1} - V^{-1}) f_h = 0$, because $\hat{A}_h(x) = \hat{A}H(x)$ for $|x| > h$. Therefore

$$\big\|\big((T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon} - z)^{-1} - (T - z)^{-1}\big) f_h\big\| \le r_\varepsilon\, \|f\| + \|(V_h - V)(S - z)^{-1} V^{-1} f_h\|, \tag{4.4}$$

where $r_\varepsilon = \|(S_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon} - z)^{-1} - (S - z)^{-1}\|$ is an infinitely small quantity as $\varepsilon \to 0$ provided the ratio $\nu_\varepsilon/\varepsilon$ has a finite or infinite limit.

Let us turn to the last norm in the right-hand side of (4.4). We shall prove the following estimate

$$\|(V_h - V)(S - z)^{-1} V^{-1} f_h\| \le c_1 h^{1/2}\|f\| \tag{4.5}$$

with some constant c_1 independent of h and f. Set $v_h = (S - z)^{-1} V^{-1} f_h$. We see at once that

$$(V_h - V)(S - z)^{-1} V^{-1} f_h = \big(e^{-\mathrm{i}\hat{A}_h} - e^{-\mathrm{i}\hat{A}H}\big)\chi_h v_h.$$

Therefore

$$\|(V_h - V)(S - z)^{-1} V^{-1} f_h\| \le 2\|\chi_h\|\|v_h\|_{L_\infty(-h,h)} \le c_2 h^{1/2}\|v_h\|_{L_\infty(-h,h)} \tag{4.6}$$

with a constant c_2 independent of h. What is left to show that the norm $\|v_h\|_{L_\infty(-h,h)}$ can be estimated by the $L_2(\mathbb{R})$-norm of f uniformly as $h \to 0$.

In the resonant case, v_h is an $L^2(\mathbb{R})$-solution of the boundary value problem

$$v'' + zv = -e^{-\mathrm{i}\hat{A}H(x)} f_h \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$v(+0) = \theta v(-0), \quad v'(+0) = \theta^{-1} v'(-0) + \varkappa(\omega) v(-0). \tag{4.8}$$

Let y_1 and y_2 be the linear independent solutions to the equation $y'' + zy = 0$ in the whole line, obeying the conditions $y_1(0) = 0$, $y_1'(0) = 1$ and $y_2(0) = 1$, $y_2'(0) = 0$. We also introduce two functions

$$v_1(x) = \begin{cases} y_1(x) & \text{for } x < 0, \\ \theta^{-1} y_1(x) & \text{for } x > 0; \end{cases} \quad v_2(x) = \begin{cases} y_2(x) & \text{for } x < 0, \\ \varkappa(\omega) y_1(x) + \theta y_2(x) & \text{for } x > 0, \end{cases}$$

which are linear independent solutions to the equation $y'' + zy = 0$ in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the interface conditions (4.8). Both these solutions belong to $W_2^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and so they are bounded in the whole line.

Since the function f_h vanishes in the interval $(-h, h)$ for all $h > 0$, the solution v_h of problem (4.7), (4.8) can be represented as follows

$$v_h(x) = \ell_1(f_h) v_1(x) + \ell_2(f_h) v_2(x) \tag{4.9}$$

for $x \in (-h, h)$ with the linear functionals $\ell_k \colon L_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the inequalities

$$|\ell_k(g)| \le c_3 \|g\|, \qquad k = 1, 2. \tag{4.10}$$

The continuity of ℓ_k follows from the continuity of operators $(S - z)^{-1}$ and V^{-1} in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$, since $v_h = (S - z)^{-1} V^{-1} f_h$. Therefore,

$$\|v_h\|_{L_\infty(-h,h)} \le |\ell_1(f_h)|\, \|v_1\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} + |\ell_2(f_h)|\, \|v_2\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le c_4 \|f_h\| \le c_4 \|f\| \tag{4.11}$$

in view of (4.10) and (4.1).

For the non-resonant potential Φ, the function v_h is an $L^2(\mathbb{R})$-solution of the boundary value problem

$$v'' + zv = -e^{-\mathrm{i}\hat{A}H(x)} f_h \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad v(0) = 0. \tag{4.12}$$

In this case, we set

$$v_1(x) = \begin{cases} y_1(x) & \text{for } x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x > 0; \end{cases} \quad v_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < 0, \\ y_1(x) & \text{for } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

in the representation (4.9). Repeating the previous argument leads to the estimate (4.11) in the non-resonant case.

We summarize our conclusions by observing from (4.2), (4.4), and (4.5) that

$$\|(T^h_{\varepsilon,\nu_\varepsilon} - z)^{-1} f - (T - z)^{-1} f\| \le C(r_\varepsilon + h^{1/2}) \|f\|,$$

where r_ε goes to zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$, which completes the proof.

References

[1] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn, H. Holden, *Solvable Models In Quantum Mechanics. With An Appendix By Pavel Exner. 2nd revised ed.* Providence, RI: AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2005.

[2] S. Albeverio, L. Dąbrowski, P. Kurasov, *Symmetries of Schrödinger operators with point interactions*, Lett. Math. Phys. **45** (1998), 33–47.

[3] S. Albeverio, S.-M. Fei, P. Kurasov, *Gauge fields, point interactions and few-body problems in one dimension*, Rep. Math. Phys. **53** (2004), no. 3, 363–370.

[4] J. Avron, I. Herbst, B. Simon, *Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields. I. General interactions*, Duke Mathematical Journal, **45** (1978), no. 4, 847–883.

[5] S. Albeverio, P. Kurasov, *Singular Perturbations of Differential Operators and Solvable Schrödinger Type Operators*, Cambridge: Univ. Press, 2000.

[6] J. F. Brasche, L. Nizhnik, *One-dimensional Schrödinger operators with δ'-interactions on a set of Lebesgue measure zero*, arXiv:1112.2545 [math.FA].

[7] P. Chernoff, R. Hughes, *A new class of point interactions in one dimension* // J. Funct. Anal., **111** (1993), 97–117.

[8] F.A.B. Coutinho, Y. Nogami, L. Tomio, *Time-reversal aspect of the point interactions in one-dimensional quantum mechanics,* J. Phys. A **32** (1999), L133–L136.

[9] P. Exner and O. Post, *A general approximation of quantum graph vertex couplings by scaled Schrödinger operators on thin branched manifolds*, arXiv:1205.5129 [math-pp].

[10] Yu. Golovaty, *Schrödinger operators with $(\alpha\delta' + \beta\delta)$-like potentials: norm resolvent convergence and solvable models*, arXiv:1201.2610v2 [math.SP], submited to Methods of Funct. Anal. Topology.

[11] Yu. Golovaty, *1D Schrödinger operators with short range interactions: two-scale regularization of distributional potentials*, arXiv:1202.4711v2 [math.SP], submited to Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory.

[12] Yu. Golovaty, R. Hryniv, *On norm resolvent convergence of Schrödinger operators with δ'-like potentials*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43** (2010), no. 15, 155204 (14pp); Corrigendum J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44** (2011), 049802; arXiv:0911.1046[math.SP].

[13] Yu. Golovaty, R. Hryniv, *Norm resolvent convergence of singularly scaled Schrödinger operators and δ'-potentials*, arXiv:1108.5345v1[math.SP], to appear in Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh.

[14] Yu. Golovaty and S. Man'ko, *Solvable models for the Schrödinger operators with δ'-like potentials.* Ukr. Math. Bulletin (2) **6** (2009), 169–203; arXiv:0909.1034 [math.SP].

[15] A. Goriunov, V. Mikhailets, *Resolvent convergence of SturmLiouville operators with singular potentials*, Mathematical Notes, **87** (2010), no. 2, 287–292.

[16] M. Klaus, *Some applications of the Birman–Schwinger principle*, Helvetica Physica Acta **55** (1982), 49–68.

[17] A. Kostenko, M. Malamud, *1-D Schrödinger operators with local point interactions on a discrete set*, J. Differential Equations **249** (2010), 253–304.

[18] P. Kurasov, *Distribution Theory for Discontinuous Test Functions and Differential Operators with Generalized Coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. **201** (1996), 297–323.

[19] S. S. Man'ko, O*n δ'-like potential scattering on star graphs*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor **43** (2010), no. 44, 445304 (14pp).

[20] P. Šeba, *The generalized point interaction in one dimension* // Czech. J. Phys. B, **36** (1986), 667–673.

[21] A. M. Savchuk, A. A. Shkalikov, *Sturm-Liouville operators with distribution potentials*, Tr. Mosk. Mat. O.-va **64** (2003), 159–212.

[22] H. Tamura, *Magnetic scattering at low energy in two dimensions*, Nagoya Math. J. **155** (1999), 95–151.

[23] H. Tamura, *Norm resolvent convergence to magnetic Schrödinger operators with point interactions*, Rep. Math. Phys. **13** (2001), no. 4, 465–511.

[24] H. Tamura, *Resolvent convergence in norm for Dirac operator with Aharonov-Bohm field,* J. Math. Phys. **44** (2003), 2967.

[25] A. V. Zolotaryuk, *Two-parametric resonant tunneling across the $\delta'(x)$ potential*, Adv. Sci. Lett. **1** (2008), 187–191.

[26] A. V. Zolotaryuk, *Point interactions of the dipole type defined through a three-parametric power regularization*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43** (2010), 105302 (21 pp).

Department of Differential Equations, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, 1 Universytetska str., 79000 Lviv, Ukraine